Tr. Junes S. Phones Aschistet of the Veited States The Detional Aschives Vesbington, D.C. 20408

Beer Dr. Bhoeds.

Four letter of the 18th and sim of the 18 tremed in the mail. Considering that the mast regard of the requests responded to in your latter is the months and 18 days old, I hope you will understand the reflected impetiones.

however, becomes I did write yes. I write only to entherladge receipt of this letter on the enclosures.

These you for notifying to my advocat made replaniating. A check for Cat.CO is enclosed.

I will go ever the englowares as about as possible on this write further if it seems accessery. There is now you won to take to itself I will mestion association.

With regard to Partie, the temperature of Pabanary 24, 1707, contains the report attributed to your agency that there serve a total of 40 began relation to Fortle in your custody, not value 10 serve decimalized. By one check, then for an inclused three deplications. Sails in 1 possible he did not give me to identifications of those still classified, he must containly did of them declaratified only as note a the store, the press is consistent in giving a total of 40 pages. This was not a universal februaries, nor did all reporters make an intestical passes. The recollection of that conversation with Mr. Tabase is called them, included there so that versal regions, I credited him with this is DEFALL IN IN CRITICAL (p. 170), where I wroke, Therian Tabases, effected constants or this exclusive, gathered for the press the 12 regar referred to."

Tour excend paragraph says, "The name file for Ferrie, hereway, exacting the following pages in Herren Counteries Document 70 that are withhold from research;", thereefter listed. Now at your suggestion, I want to see this file one, as I reported to you, it was gutted. There was an reference to May of these pages in it and quite a number of pages I have an Ferrie wore not there. There was a separate follow identified as those pages from 1975. There name, as I recall, two pages in it and no reference to any withhold pages. There are more than two pages fol withhold from 1975 close.

What I should like to know with regard to this paregraph is: are all withheld Ferrio documents in 22 75, the perce you commercial

The most remain of my requests for this information was Secender R4. In that letter I also again for incuments relating to Sayton Sartons, Selvin Colley and Alvin Seculoseff, here you say only, "the same file for Leyton Sartons contains

pages 302-304 from 60 75 that are distiblid from research". Fro you saying there is no thing also on martens? You make no reference to the other two. You also do not give the date of the decalling interview.

al a service

The respect to the SPA-S. I look forward to getting the othergements one I then you for them. Ifter exemining them I will write further. When I can be in Tachington I will phone to extrange to see the two photographs of SE 594 that you have proposed but so not furnish copies of. Without seeing them I do not know if I would cont copies, but if you do have the platumes already to in, mail you mind telling no why you do not furnish copies?

In the most serious error. Long ago you asked me to send you an electrostatic copy of that picture and I did. You mor say it is the one you tack for Dr. Wichole. I will not make an issue of your refusing to make a sopy of his order avoilable, elthough I think for a maker of resease, each of midd should be obvious, you cought to. However, my writing on they picture, taken for me, under Ar. canson's supervision, were in the summer of 1967. It is dated. Obviously, this could be the picture you didn't take for Dr. Blobols until about May NR, 1988.

Your penultimete peregrech does not dive the date you first made the first two Spectus memoranda excileble to reservators.

My request of January 4 and for the entire Jurkley file. Yes next no reference to take. May I service that stack you sent in the entire Survice file?

The same day, with regard to Chand, I saked for the etherhouse to relating to aim inclosting plus envising wise you had selde from the documents I listed. You make no reference to these attachments and demiliants the documents I told you I had, 50 501: 315,800; ChilO7: 1800-6.

Tensher other than E and S. You sent to page 1.4 and 6, which you had already provided, and also sup lied what I did not sak for, pp. 8-EP, which are clearly murked on a speech not by him.

Terrie, CD 201:85-6. Unless they are the only references to bell ay and Seculment, which I wary much doubte.

you. Termops if there were less deliberate delay in respecting to my requests, some of this masteful ductions and error might be availed. This your latter says it is response to a number of wine going back to last Demoker, the fact is that is these letters I remot requests only earlier, at those letters I remot requests only earlier, at those response.

if you would like what hals I day be able to provide in stroightening out the mislabeling of your pictures, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Mercld Paisberg